

## **LEOs and QUIC**

Marie-José Montpetit, Ph.D. Invited Scientist – Telecom Paris Sud

### The new LEOs and the Old

#### • The old

- Teledesic
  - 750 then 288 satellites with optical ISLs for mesh connectivity
- The new
  - Starlink/Space X
  - Kuiper/Amazon
  - OneWeb/Airbus
  - Lightspeed/Telesat Canada
  - Viasat? Samsung?
  - "Problems and Requirements of Satellite Constellation for Internet"
    - Internet draft (Lin Han and Richard Li of Futurewei) summarizes some routing issues
    - https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-lhan-problems-requirements-satellite-net/

## **Focusing on Starlink**

- Status
  - Dense constellation of (currently planned) 4425 satellites
    - 1800 launched; partial service established
    - SpaceX has regulatory permission to operate 4,408 satellites at 550-km altitude for global coverage
  - No ISLs at least in the 1<sup>st</sup> generation
    - ISL added to the new generation (starting 2022) to avoid needing gateways in the arctic
  - Ku/Ka band
  - 24 orbital planes/53° inclined orbit
  - Speeds of up to 1 Gbit/s, with latencies between 25 and 35 ms
  - Currently 140,000 users at \$99/mo. (\$499 for the access point)



#### **Connectivity Aspects**

#### Access network

• Uplink established with one satellite that forwards the traffic to a gateway inside its footprint and onward to the Internet Satellite diversity used to maintain the best connectivity in Ka band

- Changing satellites may mean changing gateway
- New satellite may not be in the same orbital plane (at least before the constellation is complete)
- There are no ISLs to maintain the same GW
- The ISLs may be turned on only at the arctic

LEOs do not have large delays but will have delay variations

• Even with ISL the routing through the constellation incurs variations

Connection to the same satellite for 100-400 seconds

 Usually make-beforebreak for the handover

## Impact on QUIC?

- No experiments as of yet (at least not public)
- Some performance aspects
  - Multiple/changing gateways
    - Congestion control impacts
  - End to end connectivity aspects
    - Highlighted in the routing draft
    - ISL help keeping the end points constant
  - Impacts of delay variations
    - May or may not be an issue
  - FEC (or not)
- LEO connectivity different from (or similar to) mobility
  - User is fixed, satellite moves (fast)
  - Lessons from 5G (discussed in the Han draft)



# Some questions?



Use QUIC multipath and connection migration when connecting over a LEO?



Will there be enough users to justify specific LEO related changes to QUIC?

#### **Next steps**





Plans for a broad-scope satellite tutorial that will include QUIC

The current IRTF draft concentrates on research opportunities mainly routing

• A QUIC specific draft? Should a "QUIC over Satellite" Transport (sub)group be proposed?

- In the same breath as TCPsat of old
- Both LEO/GEO impacts to be considered



#### **Non-IETF/IRTF**

Run experiments with the existing satellites

Specific ETOSAT discussions and activities



#### marie@mjmontpetit.com

